

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Group Art Unit: 2613

Examiner:

David J. Lee

Applicants:

Jakobik et al

Reply Brief

Serial No.:

10/004,097

Filed:

October 31, 2001

Title:

Architectural Arrangement for Core

Optical Networks

REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANTS

This is a reply to the Examiner's answer dated May 19, 2006 regarding the pending appeal of the present application.

Arguments

Applicant's invention is directed generally to an architectural arrangement that enables optical switching at different optical layers within an optical transport network. To enable switching, signal impairment compensation is performed at <u>each</u> layer of the network. In addition, compensation must be applied to <u>each</u> optical signal within a given layer to achieve the signal conditioning needed to route optical signals from different layers over long distances.

Of particular note, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform dispersion compensation on the signals exiting MUXs 144-2 and 144-4 in the same way that Nakamoto performs compensation on the signals exiting MUXs 144-3, 144-6, 144-7 and 144-5. However, to maintain polarization conditions of adjacent channels cross orthogonal to each other, these signals cannot undergo any signal conditioning in accordance with the teachings of Nakamoto. Basis for this assertion is found throughout the reference, including col. 20, line 1-3 and col. 21, lines 64-67. Therefore, Applicant believes the Examiner's assertion to be incorrect. Rather, Nakamoto fails to teach or suggest performing signal impairment compensation on each of the optical signals within a given layer as recited in Applicant's claimed invention. Absent this teaching, Applicant believes the pending claims define patentable subject matter over the relied upon combination of references.

Applicant continues to maintain the arguments set forth in previous correspondence with the Examiner. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request the Board to reconsider and withdraw the current rejections.

For the foregoing reasons, the appealed claims are patentably distinguishable over the art relied upon by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant's representative respectfully requests that this Board reverse the final rejection of Claims 1-7, 9-31 and 33-36.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy D. MacIntyre Registration No. 42,824

Dated: July 19, 2006

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.O. Box 828 Troy, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

TDM/drl

07-20-06

PTO/SB/21 (04-04

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/004,097	
Filing Date	October 31, 2001	
First Named Inventor	Jakobik et al	
Art Unit	2613	
Examiner Name	David J. Lee	
Attorney Docket Number	2676-000008	

Total Number of Pages	3 117 71113 Odbirili 331011		Morney Docket Number	2070-	000008		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal F	orm	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			peal Communication to Board of peals and Interferences		
Amendment / Rep	oly	Petition		⊠ Ap (Aj	peal Communication to TC ppeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		☐ Pro	oprietary Information		
Affidavits/dec	laration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Sta	atus Letter		
Extension of Time	e Request	☐ Terminal Disclaimer			ther Enclosure(s) ease identify below):		
Express Abandon	ment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Return Postcard		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
Response to Miss Incomplete Applic				.,			
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	or Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.		Attorney Name Timothy D. MacIntyre		Reg. No. 42824		
Signature		_ AA					
Date	July 19, 2006						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Dolpres R. Lamar	Express Mail Label No.	EV 855 009 505 US (7/19/2006)
Signature	Nellow Tellor	Date	July 19, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.